EXHIBIT 38

```
00001
 1
 2
              In the U.S. District Court
            Southern District of New York
 3
    ----x
 4
    Ashot Egiazaryan
 5
                                NO. 11cv02670
               v.
                            :
 6
    Peter Zalmayev
 7
    8
                 November 10, 2011
 9
    DEPOSITION OF:
10
               Douglas Bloomfield,
11
    a witness, called by counsel pursuant to notice,
12
    commencing at 10:02 a.m., which was taken at the
    Sperduto Law Firm, 1133 20th Street, NW, Washington,
   DC 20036
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
    HUDSON REPORTING & VIDEO
                                      1-800-310-1769
```

1 Appearances 2 Jonathan Lupkin, Esq. Flemming, Zulack 3 One Liberty Plaza 4 New York, NY 10006 5 for the Plaintiff 6 7 8 James P. Golden, Esq. 9 Hamburg and Golden 1601 Market Street 10 suite 3310 11 Philadelphia, PA 19103-1443 12 13 for the Defendant 14 15 Kim H. Sperduto, Esq. 16 The Sperduto Law firm 17 1133 20th Street, NW 18 2nd floor 19 Washington, DC 20036 20 for the witness 21 22 23 24 25

```
00006
 1
 2
                  (Whereupon the matter commenced at
 3
         10:02 a.m.)
            (The videographer makes an opening statement
 4
 5
     and the parties identify themselves)
 6
 7
                      Stipulations
 8
             (It is stipulated and agreed by and
     between counsel for the respective parties that
 9
10
     the reading and signing of this transcript by the
     witness are not waived.
11
12
             It is further stipulated and agreed
13
     that the filing of this transcript with the clerk
14
     of the court be and the same is hereby waived.)
15
16
17
     Whereupon,
                    Douglas Bloomfield
18
19
20
     was called for examination by counsel and,
21
     after having been duly sworn, was examined
     and testified as follows:
22
     DIRECT EXAMINATION:
23
     BY MR. LUPKIN:
24
              Good morning, Mr. Bloomfield.
25
         Q.
```

- 1 Q. It says: "Points are be good and
- 2 detailed/logical. Thanks."
- 3 Do you know what Mr. Akhmetshin is referring
- 4 to there?
- 5 A. You'll have to ask him.
- 6 Q. I will ask him but did you have an
- 7 understanding when you received this e-mail what
- 8 he was talking about?
- 9 A. The talking points.
- 10 Q. Those are the ones we were just talking
- 11 about, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. It says, goes on to say "my only
- 14 suggestion is to take thea name of Zhirinovsky out.
- 15 Too many names will cause confusion. Besides, the
- 16 story is about Ashot, not Zhirinovsky. Having him
- 17 will dilute our punch."
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Did you ask Mr. Akhmetshin what he meant
- 20 by that? Withdrawn. Let me ask it a different way.
- 21 Do you recall having any discussions with
- 22 Mr. Zalmayev about whether or not to keep Vladimir
- 23 Zhirinovsky on the talking points?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. How many such discussions did you have?

- 1 A. One or two maybe.
- 2 Q. Were they over the telephone or in person?
- 3 A. Telephone.
- Q. You said one or two of them. Do you have
- 5 a particular recollection of separate conversations
- 6 or do you have a recollection of conversations on
- 7 the subject?
- 8 A. Conversations on the subject, conversation
- 9 probably, singular, on the subject.
- 10 Q. Was Mr. Zalmayev a participant in that
- 11 conversation?
- 12 A. Probably.
- 13 Q. I'd like you to tell me what
- 14 Mr. Akhmetshin said to you and what you said to
- 15 Mr. Akhmetshin during this conversation.
- 16 A. Well, I think the point that was made was
- 17 taking Zhirinovsky's name out because all of the
- 18 long Russian names might be confusing to people.
- 19 Q. Was that Mr. Akhmetshin's thought?
- 20 A. It was one or the other. I don't know
- 21 which one.
- 22 Q. It was either Mr. Akhmetshin or
- 23 Mr. Zalmayev, correct?
- 24 A. That is correct.
- Q. Did you agree with that?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. Why?
- 3 A. Because, first of all, I don't think
- 4 Americans are that inept at handling foreign names.
- 5 Secondly, I think Mr. Zhirinovsky is a
- 6 fairly well known figure and it would help clarify
- 7 the point they wanted to make by including a
- 8 reference to him by name and not just by
- 9 association.
- 10 Q. When you said that Mr. Zhirinovsky is a
- 11 well known figure, was it your belief that he was a
- 12 well known figure as opposed to Mr. Egiazaryan who
- 13 is not a well known figure?
- 14 MR. SPERDUTO: Form.
- 15 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 16 BY MR. LUPKIN:
- 17 Q. Did you view Mr. Egiazaryan as a well
- 18 known figure at the time of this project?
- 19 A. He's not a household name.
- 20 Q. In your assessment at the time he was not
- 21 well known?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. Now, moving up the chain, it says "on
- 24 2/25/11 at 5:27 p.m. Peter Zalmayev wrote."
- 25 Is this an e-mail that you received from